

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-19459 of 2017

Date of decision: 20.01.2020

Santosh Sharma

.... Petitioner

versus

Punjab and Sind Bank and another

... Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.P. Soi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.N. Lohan, Advocate
for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.

The claim of the petitioner as being raised in the present writ petition for the grant of family pension in respect of the service rendered by the late husband of the petitioner, is being disputed by the respondents on the ground that the late husband of the petitioner Mr. Ravi Dutt Sharma never opted for pension.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that late husband of the petitioner had duly opted for pension, but as he has died and in order to decline the claim of the petitioner, a disputed question of facts is being raised by the respondents. He further prays that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to avail the appropriate remedy before the Civil Court.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

20.01.2020

aarti

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/non-speaking? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |