

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

207

CR-2430-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.11.2020

Taranjit Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

Durga Rani and another

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. S.S. Dinarpur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Divanshu Jain, Advocate
for the respondents.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing on account of restrictions due to outbreak of pandemic COVID-19.

Petitioner-Taranjit Singh, stated to be aged 38 years, has filed the present petition *inter alia* with a prayer for setting aside the order dated 13.10.2020 (Annexure P-9), whereby, the application for extension of 06 months time to vacate the demised premises, has been dismissed by the learned Executive Court and also for setting aside the impugned order dated 13.10.2020 (Annexure P-10) vide which, warrant of possession has been issued against the petitioner in respect of the demised premises and consequently for granting 06 months time to the petitioner to vacate the premises in question and to handover the possession thereof to the Decree-holder.

Records of the case show that on 29.10.2020, notice of motion was issued for today i.e. 12.11.2020.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that on 27.10.2020, the petitioner has given in writing that he has handed over the possession of the property to the respondent. He submits that after 27.10.2020, on 29.10.2020, this Court for the first time, issued notice of motion in the present case. In this view of the matter, he submits that the present petition has become infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not able to dispute the aforementioned position.

In view of the above, no further orders are called for in the present petition.

Accordingly, Civil Revision Petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

12.11.2020
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No