

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-18206-2020

Date of Decision:-30.10.2020

HAWA SINGH KHOBRA

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Kartik Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.

(Proceedings conducted through video conferencing).

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking issuance of a direction not to grant extension in service to respondent No.4-Raj Kumar Beniwal, Chief Marketing Enforcement Officer who is due to retire on 31.10.2020.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the respondents No.1 to 3 have been granting extensions in a “pick & choose” manner in contravention of the policy of the State Government and that in case

respondent No.4 is granted extension the same would jeopardize the promotional avenues of the petitioner.

3. At this stage, Ms. Tanish Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana, upon whom an advance copy of the petition had already been served, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 3. Upon instructions from Mr. Harish Kumar, Under Secretary to Additional Chief Secretary, Agriculture, Haryana, she has informed that as on date there is no proposal to grant any extension to respondent No.4.
4. In view of the aforestated position, the writ petition is disposed off with a direction that in case any matter pertaining to grant of extension in service to respondent No.4 is to be considered, then the representation (Annexure P-6) and Legal Notice (Annexure P-7) be also taken into account before granting any extension.
5. The petition stands disposed off accordingly.
6. The learned State counsel is requested to convey a copy of this order to the respondents No.1 to 3 today itself.

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

30.10.2020
Gaurav Sorot

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No