

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(208)

CWP No. 24383 of 2014

Date of Decision : 07.02.2020

Vikas Arora

....Petitioner

Versus

IDBI Bank Limited and another

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. P.S. Khurana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Jain, Advocate for the respondents.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the writ petition on the ground of availability of alternate remedy under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 for the redressal of the grievance of the petitioner as raised in the present writ petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is allowed to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to avail the said appropriate remedy. Learned counsel for the petitioner raises an apprehension that his claim might be rejected on limitation.

As the petitioner had filed this writ petition, there is no doubt that in case petitioner avails the remedy under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972, same will be decided on merits.

Dismissed as withdrawn, with the liberty, as prayed for.

February 07, 2020

kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No