

COCp-2309-2020

Date of Decision : 30.10.2020

Babbo Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Krishan Kumar and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Learned counsel contends that vide order dated 29.11.2019, CWP-34776-2019, was disposed of by directing the respondent to decide the claim made in the legal notice dated 11.05.2019, by passing a speaking order within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and in case the petitioner was found entitled to any monetary benefit, to release the same to her within three months thereafter but despite expiry of the aforementioned period of time, needful has not been done.

3. Notice to respondent No.2 to show cause as to why proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 be not initiated against him for non-compliance with the orders of this Court dated 29.11.2019, in CWP-34776-2019.

4. Mr. Aditya Sharda, Asstt. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and on instructions from respondent No.2 states

of Corona Virus pandemic but assures that in case four weeks time is granted, needful would be done and in case the petitioner is found entitled to any monetary benefit, the same would also be released to her within three months thereafter.

5. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner, who states that in view of the assurance held out by respondent No.2 through learned Asstt. AG, Punjab, he does not press the Contempt Petition at this stage.

6. Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the Contempt Petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to pass speaking order on the claim made in the legal notice dated 11.05.2019, within four weeks from today and to supply a copy of the order to the petitioner, within a week thereafter and in case the petitioner is found entitled to any monetary benefit, the same be released to her, within a period of four weeks thereafter. However, it is made clear that in case the needful is not done within the stipulated period of time, the petitioner would be at liberty to move an application for revival of the contempt petition.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

October 30, 2020

'PS-Rajesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>