

209

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 35135 of 2020 (O&M)
Decided on: 17.12.2020

Rohit

....Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Anil Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Dutt, APP, U.T., Chandigarh.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.0120 dated 26.09.2020, registered under Sections 341, 323, 452, 506, 34 IPC at Police Station West, Sector 11, Chandigarh.

The operative part of the order dated 02.11.2020, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that no specific part has been attributed to the petitioner. Petitioner was not armed with any weapon. Petitioner in order to stop his brother Rohin tried to pull him back from the spot. The offences except Section 452 IPC are bailable in nature.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Gautam Dutt, APP appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-UT Chandigarh. Learned State counsel submits that offence under Section 325 IPC

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

has been added. Petitioner was present at the spot with a weapon known as Chappar.

List on 17.12.2020....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 02.11.2020, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Bhupinder Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 02.11.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

17.12.2020
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No