

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-35336-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.11.2020**

Labh Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Harvinder Singh Maan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J.

This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.196 dated 14.08.2020, under Sections 22-C/27-A of NDPS Act, 1985, registered at Police Station, City Ratia, District Fatehabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that name of the petitioner came pursuant to a disclosure statement made by Prem Singh that petitioner was the supplier of tablets to the accused.

Learned State counsel informs that recovery in this case is 'commercial' in nature. She is not disputing that the name of petitioner was disclosed by a person, from whom, recovery of contraband was effected. On a specific query, she states that no other case under the NDPS Act is

pending against the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to the decision given in **Rajwinder Vs. State of Haryana**, 2019 (1) Law Herald 848 on the proposition that if, a person is named on the disclosure statement of the co-accused and has not been arrested from the spot, then he is entitled to get the concession of anticipatory bail.

In the present case, petitioner is in custody since 24.08.2020.

Heard.

Keeping in view the period of incarceration of the petitioner and the fact that the trial will take some time for its conclusion, this Court feels that no purpose will be served by detaining the petitioner any longer. Accordingly, this petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Fatehabad.

05.11.2020
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No