

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-22484 of 2016

Date of decision: 27.01.2020

Paramjit Singh CDPO

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Madhav Pokheral, Advocate
Ms. Seema Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Ms. Daljot Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that though, the payments have been released to the petitioner during the pendency of the present writ petition but, according to the petitioner, the calculations of the amount, for which the petitioner is entitled, have not been done in a proper manner. Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to state as to how the calculation of the amount done by the respondents is incorrect.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondents seeking details, as to how, the amount which has been released to the petitioner has been calculated and in case any grievance of the petitioner in that regard still subsists, a liberty be

given to the petitioner to avail an appropriate remedy for redressal of his grievance including filing of the representation with the respondents bringing the said discrepancy to the notice of the respondent-department.

Keeping in view the prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to avail appropriate remedy, in case any of the grievance of the petitioner subsists including the calculation of amount, for which, the petitioner is entitled after his retirement.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

27.01.2020
aarti

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/non-speaking? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |