

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-2292-2020

Date of Decision: 29.10.2020

Rishipal

...Petitioner

vs.

Dharminder Singh

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Panwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic Covid-19.

2. Prayer in the Contempt Petition under Sections 11/12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for willful and deliberate disobedience of order Annexure P/1 dated 13.07.2020, passed by this Court in CWP No.9656/2020.

3. Learned counsel contends that vide order Annexure P/1 dated 13.07.2020, CWP No.9656 of 2020, was disposed of with a direction to the Deputy Commissioner, Panipat, to consider and decide representation dated 19.03.2020, within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order, but despite expiry of aforementioned period of time, needful has not been done.

4. Notice to the respondent to show cause as to why proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 be not initiated against him for non-compliance with the order of this Court dated 13.07.2020, in CWP No.9656 of 2020.

5. Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and has placed on record copy of order dated 04.09.2020, passed by the respondent in compliance with the order of this Court dated 13.07.2020, in CWP No.9656 of 2020 and states that copy of the aforesaid order was also supplied to the petitioner. However, the same is disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner. Be as it may, copy of order dated 04.09.2020, passed in compliance with the order of this Court dated 13.07.2020, in CWP No.9656 of 2020, is taken on record and copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that in the circumstances, he does not press the Contempt Petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to challenge order dated 04.09.2020, in accordance with law.

6. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the Contempt Petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with the liberty as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

29.10.2020

'Amit-Rajesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No