

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

218

CRM-M-35075-2020

DATE OF DECISION: 06.11.2020

AMARJIT KAUR

... Petitioner (s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Ankit Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.10 dated 26.01.2019, under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Division No.4, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner are that the documents, which she had furnished for the release of the vehicle on sapurdari, were found to be forged. He, however, contends that the petitioner is an illiterate 70 year old lady and the sapurdari bonds were prepared by somebody else. He further contends that the vehicle was seized by the police with regard to an NDPS Act in which recovery of 18 kg of poppy husk was allegedly effected from the accused. He also contends that the petitioner is in custody for about 4 months.

Learned State counsel contends that challan has been filed but no prosecution witness has been examined.

Heard through video conferencing.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner, especially when the petitioner is a lady, she is in custody for over 4 months, the COVID-19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on her furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

06.11.2020.

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No