

**108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17879-2020

Date of decision: 29.10.2020

Satpal Ladhar and sons and another

....Petitioners

Versus

The Chairman Market Committee Mehatpur and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present :- Mr. Saransh Sabharwal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

Through the present writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners pray for issuance of writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents, to grant proportionate reduction in the accepted bid amount of tender in accordance with Condition No.29 of the Condition of Contract as provided under Detailed Notice for Inviting Tender (“DNIT”) dated 29.02.2020.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sahil Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent(s)-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he has raised several issues before the Grievance Disposal Committee in terms of clause 29 of the Contract/bidders contract which are pending before the

said committee. He submits that the respondent-Mandi Board may be directed to decide the same in accordance with the provisions and stipulations contained in Clause 29 of the Contract specially those relating to granting personal hearing on a date and time fixed by the respondent-authorities.

Learned Deputy Advocate General appearing for the State of Punjab undertakes to appear on behalf of the respondent-Mandi also and submits that decision on the issues raised in the petition in terms of Clause 29 of the Contract shall be taken by the authorities concerned in accordance with law expeditiously.

In view of the aforesaid undertaking given by the learned Deputy Advocate General appearing for the respondent-State of Punjab, the petition stands disposed of and the respondent-authorities are directed to decide the issues raised by the petitioner in terms of Clause 29 of the contract in accordance with law as far as possible within four weeks

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Miscellaneous Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

29.10.2020
m. sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No