

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34574-2020

Date of Decision: 29.10.2020

Mahima Mittal and others

.. Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Inder Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

This petition has been file under Section 482 Cr.P.C. read with Article 226 Constitution of India for quashing the impugned notice (Annexure P-1), allegedly issued under Section 160 Cr.P.C. by respondent No.4 for requiring the attendance of the petitioners in Police Station Civil Lines, Kaithal in respect of the complaint made by Jagdish Kumar (respondent No.5).

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel that pursuant to the impugned notice (Annexure P-1), the petitioners had appeared before the police officer on 15.10.2020.

In view of the above, the present petition for challenging the impugned notice is not maintainable. Resultantly, the same is dismissed.

29.10.2020

Jasmine Kaur

**(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes No

Whether reportable

Yes No