

DARSHAN SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Nain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amrik Singh Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

*(The case has been taken up through video conferencing on
account of Covid-19 Pandemic)*

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

Briefly, the FIR bearing No. 187 dated 04.08.2019 under Section 22 (c), 27-C of the NDPS Act, 1985, at Police Station Sadar Tohana, District Fatehabad has been registered in pursuance of the recovery of 2700 tablets of Tramadol Hydrochloride, 1200 tablets of Alprazolam and 100 injections of Pentazocine Lactate.

It has been stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that he has been falsely implicated in the instant case and no other case has been registered against him.

On the contrary, it has been pointed out by the learned State counsel that the quantity of contraband recovered from the possession of the petitioner falls in the category of commercial quantity.

In the instant case, the recovery from the possession of the petitioner falls in the category of commercial quantity. As such, stringent provisions of

Section 37 of the NDPS Act come into play. There is nothing to suggest that the petitioner has not committed offence and is not likely to commit the offence while on bail.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

06.11.2020
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No