

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

122)

CWP no.17951 of 2020

Date of Decision: 29.10.2020

Santosh Tewatia

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Yogesh Goel, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

All the cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the Covid-19 pandemic.

In respect of her grievance for removal of encroachment on the public property (as alleged), the petitioner is stated to have filed a representation to the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Gurugram (respondent no.4), on 01.10.2020.

Without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the aforesaid representation of the petitioner by passing a detailed speaking order, answering each and every contention raised in the said representation. within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

While deciding the said representation, the report, Annexure P-8, (seen to be signed by three persons, including the Assistant Engineer, Enforcement), shall be taken into consideration.

If a completely detailed speaking order has already been passed

by the competent authority, then only a short order would now need to be passed, annexing therewith the detailed order already passed (if any).

It is again made clear that this court has not made any comment whatsoever on the contentions raised in the present petition.

29.10.2020
vcgarg

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes

Whether reportable: No