

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

206

CRM-M-34436-2020

DATE OF DECISION: 03.12.2020

GOGGI SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Janak Singh Bhinder, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 184 dated 20.09.2020, under Section 379 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Dirba, District Sangrur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is alleged in the FIR that the petitioner and two other co-accused had stolen transformer cable and other equipment from the tube-well room of the complainant. He also contends that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case and the co-accused have been granted regular bail.

This Court, by the order dated 29.10.2020, had directed petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Darshan Singh contends that that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner and the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 29.10.2020 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

03.12.2020

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No