

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 18455 of 2017

Date of Decision: 24th August, 2020

Brij Lal and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR
JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Dr. S. Muralidhar, J.

1. This writ petition is the first in a batch of writ petitions challenging the proceedings for the acquisition of land by the Respondent/State of Haryana.

2. In all these writ petitions, the prayer for declaration of deemed lapsing of land acquisition proceedings under Section 24 (2) of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 ('the 2013 Act') and/or for release of the land in question is required to be rejected in view of the judgment dated 6th March, 2020 of the Constitution Bench of the Supreme Court in ***Indore Development Authority v. Manohar Lal and others etc., AIR 2020 SC 1496.***

3. Mr. Sandeep Sharma, learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioners in all these cases states that the Petitioners desire to invoke Section 101-A of the 'the 2013 Act' which is a provision exclusive to the State of Haryana inserted therein by way of an amendment.

4. Accordingly the writ petition is dismissed, and the status quo order, if any,

is vacated. It is clarified that if the Petitioners make a representation invoking S. 101 A of the 2013 Act, it will be for the Respondent authorities to examine it and pass an appropriate order in accordance with law.

(S. MURALIDHAR)
JUDGE

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

24th August, 2020
reema

Whether speaking/reasoned:	No
Whether Reportable:	No