

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 35854 of 2020
Date of decision: 06.11.2020.**

Onkar Dyal Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. C.L. Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab, for the respondent.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 75 dated 02.06.2020, under Sections 307, 379-B, 148, 149, 341, 506 and 323 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC' - for short) (Section 326 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Lambra, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is a case of version and cross-version in which the father of the petitioner had also sustained injuries. He also contends that there is a delay of three days in registering the FIR.

Per contra, learned State counsel contends that the petitioner has been attributed a sword blow on the head of injured Jasvir Kumar for which offence punishable under Section 326 IPC has been invoked. He also contends that the injury, which has been received by the father of the petitioner, is on his hand.

Heard.

The petitioner is stated to have caused an injury with a sword on the head of injured Jasvir Kumar. In view of the seriousness of the allegations against the petitioner, as he is attributed a sword blow on the head of injured Jasvir Kaur, I do not find it to be a case which would warrant grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

06.11.2020
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No