

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-22917-2015

Date of Decision: 17<sup>th</sup> November, 2020

Shiv Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR  
JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**Dr. S. Muralidhar, J.**

1. The challenge in this writ petition is to a notification dated 20<sup>th</sup> April, 1990 under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (in short 'LAA'); a declaration dated 18<sup>th</sup> April, 1991 under Section 6 of the LAA and Award dated 23<sup>rd</sup> March, 1993 in respect of the proceedings for acquisition of land in village Kanhai located in Tehsil and District Gurgaon, for development of the land as residential and commercial area. The Petitioners also seek a declaration of deemed lapsing of land acquisition proceedings under Section 24 (2) of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (hereinafter, '2013 Act')

2. In the prayer clause, it is seen that the Petitioners state that they are ready to deposit the awarded compensation in the Court. In other words they admit to having received the compensation.

3. In that view of the matter and particularly in light of the settled legal position as explained in *Indore Development Authority v. Manoharlal AIR*

**2020 SC** 1496, no ground is made out for grant of any other reliefs prayed for.

3. There is no merit in the petition and it is dismissed as such. The status quo order stands vacated.

**(S. MURALIDHAR)**  
**JUDGE**

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)**  
**JUDGE**

**17<sup>th</sup> November, 2020**  
*Davinder Kumar*

|                              |          |
|------------------------------|----------|
| Whether speaking / reasoned: | Yes / No |
| Whether reportable:          | Yes / No |