

212

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 34682 of 2020
Date of Decision: 05.11.2020

Kamalpreet Singh @ Padda

-Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab

-Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, D.A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C. in case bearing FIR No.7 dated 31.01.2020 under Sections 307, 341, 323, 324, 148, 149 IPC registered at Police Station Fattu DHINGA, District Kapurthala.

As per allegations in the FIR, petitioner and co-accused Shankar Singh hit husband of the complainant i.e. Narinder Singh with their karhas (iron bangles) on head. Due to the injuries, the head got cut and blood started oozing out. In the second part of allegations, Shankar Singh with an intention

to kill husband of the complainant gave a blow with his kirpan towards the head of husband of complainant. The kirpan blow hit him on his right eye and right side of forehead when he was lying on the ground.

The injury falling under Section 307 IPC is attributed to Shankar Singh. The injury attributed to the petitioner falls under the ambit of Section 324 IPC.

Co-accused Amarjit Singh @ Amit has been granted regular bail by this Court in CRM-M No.20711 of 2020 vide order dated 11.08.2020. Co-accused Mangal Singh has also been granted regular bail by this Court in CRM-M No.18828 of 2020 vide order dated 04.08.2020.

Petitioner is in custody since 22.06.2020.

The factual position of the case could not be disputed by learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Kashmir Singh, except to state that the petitioner is involved in the occurrence and he is also the author of head injury from where the intention can be inferred.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the status of the case, the part attributed to the petitioner and bail to co-accused, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail.

Keeping in view the situation arising out of pandemic COVID-19 and without meaning anything on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. Petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/concerned Duty Magistrate.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

05.11.2020
Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No