

In virtual Court

CRM-M-34173-2020

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-34173-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.12.2020

Prince Bawa

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. P.B.S. Goraya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.23 dated 21.03.2020 under Sections 307, 34, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 25, 27, 54, 59 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Verowal, District Tarn Taran.

While granting interim bail to the petitioner, following order was passed by this Court on 28.10.2020: -

“...Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that as per the allegations in the FIR, recorded on the statement of Ranjodh Singh, on 20.03.2020 when he was going back to his village in his car, 06 unidentified persons on 02 motorcycles with covered faces and thereafter, one of the accused started firing at him, which hit on his right bicep and on raising hue and cry, all the accused persons ran away from the spot with their respective weapons.

Counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that on 16.04.2020, one of the co-accused namely Akashdeep was arrested in some other case and thereafter, the police recorded his disclosure statement on 10.08.2020 i.e. after a lapse of about 04 months and nominated the petitioner as one of the accused in this case. It is also submitted that the petitioner has no such history of involvement in any other case.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab, who is appearing through video conferencing, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State and submits that he may be granted some time to verify whether co-accused was arrested on 16.04.2020 or 10.08.2020, when his disclosure statement was recorded....”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Ranjeet Singh, has not disputed the factual position and states that the petitioner is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 28.10.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

22.12.2020

vishnu

**[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No