

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

203

CRM-M-34225-2020

DATE OF DECISION: 25.11.2020

HANS RAJ AND ANOTHER

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Akshay Jindal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.278, dated 25.09.2020, registered under Sections 148/149/323/506 IPC, at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that it is a case of version and cross-version in which the petitioner No.1 himself had suffered a fracture.

Learned counsel for the complainant contends that in view of the serious allegations against the petitioners, they are not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

A coordinate Bench of this Court, by the order dated 28.10.2020, had directed the petitioners to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of their arrest, they were to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from SI Jile Singh, states that the petitioners have joined the investigation.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioners and the petitioners having joined investigation, the order dated 28.10.2020 granting interim bail to the petitioners is made absolute.

However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. They shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

25.11.2020

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No