

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

108

CRM-M-34428-2020

DATE OF DECISION: 29.10.2020

MANINDER KUMAR @ KAKA CHAPLA WALA ... Petitioner (s)
Versus
STATE OF PUNJAB ... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Sourabh Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.136 dated 07.08.2019, under Sections 377, 506, 34 IPC and Section 6 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012, registered at Police Station Chheharta, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the son of the complainant, who had filed a complaint earlier did not name the petitioner and it is only subsequently that the complaint was filed by the complainant wherein the petitioner has been named. He also contends that the medical evidence does not support the allegations as levelled in the FIR. The factum of the previous complaint was not mentioned by the complainant in his application under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. filed before the Magistrate which resulted in the registration of the instant FIR.

Heard.

The allegations against the petitioner are that he along with the co-accused had committed unnatural act with the son of the complainant who is 16 years of age. In view of the seriousness of the allegations against the petitioner, the petitioner is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

The petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

29.10.2020.

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No