

111

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.34156 of 2020 (O&M)
Decided on: 28.10.2020

Amrik Ram @ Amrik Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.71 dated 04.10.2020 registered under Sections 379, 411, 201, 413, 414 IPC at Police Station Maloud, District Ludhiana.

As per the allegations in the FIR, the police while on patrol duty got the information that Naresh @ Kalu, Neeraj, Lakhvir Singh @ Lakhi, Amrik Ram (petitioner herein), Vicky Ram, are in the habit of committing theft of vehicles and they have stolen a Bolero and Mahindra Pick-up van and many other vehicles from Punjab and Haryana and are selling the same to Amrik Ram i.e. the petitioner and his brother Vicky Ram. On receiving such information, 02 accused persons namely Neeraj and Lakhvir Singh were arrested and the police recorded their disclosure statement in which they have again named the petitioner as a member of their group. It is further submitted that the petitioner has no such history of involvement in any other case and he

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

is involved in one case registered under Section 120 IPC.

Counsel for the State has opposed the prayer for bail on the ground that in pursuance to the registration of the FIR, many vehicles were recovered and 02 vehicles were yet to be recovered and the allegations against the petitioner as per the secret information and the disclosure statement is that after stealing the vehicles, they used to sold the same in the garage of the petitioner. It is further argued that there are number of cars lying in the garage of the petitioner and the ownership of the said cars needs to be verified and therefore, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required.

After hearing the counsel for the parties, considering the serious allegations against the petitioner, I find no ground to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

28.10.2020
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No