

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-2279-2020

Date of Decision: 10.11.2020

Madan Lal

...Petitioner

vs.

Varun Roohjam and another

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Advocate for the respondents.

\*\*\*

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic Covid-19.
2. Prayer in the petition under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India is for initiating action against the respondents for willfully and deliberately defying directions in order Annexure P/1 dated 12.08.2013, in CWP No.24170-2012.
3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of substantial retiral benefits having been released on 06.10.2020, he does not press the Contempt Petition and the same may be permitted to be withdrawn with liberty to take out appropriate remedy in accordance with law qua withholding of part of the retiral benefits.
4. The same is not opposed to by learned counsel for the respondents.
5. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the Contempt Petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty as prayed for.

**(B.S. Walia)**  
**Judge**

10.11.2020

*'Amit-Rajesh'*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No