

204

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-34081-2020

Date of Decision: 03.12.2020

JAGMAIL SINGH AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. K.K. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG, Punjab

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.89 dated 11.05.2020 registered under Sections 341, 324, 323, 34 & 326 (added later on) IPC, Police Station Amargarh, District Sangrur.

On 29.10.2020, following order was passed by the Coordinate Bench:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in the present case both the petitioners have been wrongly roped up in the

present case and they are innocent. He has submitted that even as per the FIR the role attributed to petitioner No.1 was Danda blow whereas the role attributed to petitioner No.2 was pulling of the hair. Learned counsel for the petitioners has further submitted that the role which was attributed to the third accused was that of kirpan and that there was delay of four days in filing the FIR. After two months of FIR, Section 326 IPC was added in it. He has submitted that marriage of the daughter of the petitioners is fixed for 31.10.2020 and has also referred to Annexure P-2 which is the card of the marriage.

Notice of motion 03.12.2020.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Sukhbir Singh, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioners be released on interim bail subject to their furnishing personal bonds/surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in compliance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Ranjit

Singh, admitted the aforesaid fact and submitted that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation of the case.

In view of aforesaid factual position, the interim order dated 29.10.2020 is made absolute. Petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when required to do so and he shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of.

December 03, 2020
sangeeta

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No