

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.18227 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 09.11.2020

Raja Impex Private Limited and othersPetitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE.**

Present:- Mr. Abnash Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Ms. Lavanya Paul, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab,
for respondent-State of Punjab.

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (ORAL)

With the consent of learned counsel for both the parties, the matter is being taken up and heard via video conferencing.

The petitioners have filed this petition for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 from converting the Green Belt/parks by destroying and cutting the trees and shrubs and converting the said green belt into a Garbage Collection and Compacting Units. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in this regard the petitioners have already made two representations Annexures P-2 and P-2/A dated 28.09.2019 as well as a legal notice dated 16.09.2020 (Annexure P4) to the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana but despite that no decision thereon has been taken as yet.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Lavanya Pal, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and undertakes to inform the concerned authority i.e. respondent No.2-Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana to take a decision on the representations Annexures P-2 and P-2/A dated 28.09.2019 as well as on the legal notice dated 16.09.2020 (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioners expeditiously.

In view of the aforesaid undertaking given by learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, the petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana, to

decide the aforesaid representations (Annexure P-2 and P-2/A) dated

28.09.2019 as well as the legal notice dated 16.09.2020 (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioners expeditiously in accordance with law and thereafter communicate the same to the petitioners.

It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and therefore, the respondent-authorities would be at liberty to examine the matter keeping all facts and facets into consideration and thereafter accept or reject the same in accordance with law.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

09.11.2020
ravinder

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No