

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-17758-2020

Date of Decision: December 15, 2020.

SAVITA NAGAR

..... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Tara Dutt, Advocate for
Mr. Sunil Kumar Nehra, Advocate
for respondent no.4.

LISA GILL, J.

This matter is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic, COVID-19.

Through the present petition, petitioner has challenged order dated 19.10.2020 (Annexure P-11), whereby respondent no.4 – Dr. Ravi Shankar has been transferred from Government College, Saha to Government College for Women, Faridabad. The grievance set forth by the petitioner, who is working as an Extension Lecturer (Mass Communication) at the Government College for Women, Faridabad, is that in case, respondent no.4 is allowed to join duty at the Government College for Women, Faridabad, the present petitioner would have to make way for him and she would lose her job.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that such a course of action adopted by the respondents—authorities is clearly illegal and in violation of the policy guidelines dated 04.03.2020 (Annexure P-4), regarding engagement of the Extension Lecturer in Government colleges.

It is, however, not in dispute that respondent no.4 filed CWP-17948-2020 challenging his transfer order dated 19.10.2020. It is further not denied that respondent no.4 has since been adjusted at Sonapat vide order dated 04.12.2020.

Learned counsel for the State categorically states that the present petitioner is not being disturbed at this stage.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above, I do not find it necessary to go into the question whether the petitioner who is working as an Extension Lecturer could have been relieved or not in the circumstances as narrated in the petition. The exercise at this stage, is clearly academic and unnecessary. No further orders are called for in this writ petition, which is disposed of accordingly.

Needless to say, the question sought to be raised in this writ petition is kept open and in case any such action is sought to be taken against the petitioner, she would be at liberty to avail the remedy as may be available to her.

15.12.2020

Sunil

**(LISA GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No