

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-19475-2020

Date of decision : 18.11.2020

Jaspreet Singh

....Petitioner

V/s

Food Corporation of India & ors.

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH**

Present: Dr. Rau P.S. Girwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.K. Gupta, Advocate for respondents no. 1 to 4 & 6.

RAJAN GUPTA J. (ORAL)

Case has been heard through Video Conferencing in view of
COVID-19 Pandemic.

Notice of motion.

Mr. K.K. Gupta, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of
respondents no. 1 to 4 & 6.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the
grievance raised in the petition, petitioner has filed representations, Annexure P-9
& P-15. However, neither any decision has been taken thereon nor any
opportunity of hearing was granted to the petitioner.

Mr. Gupta, submits that one of the representations of the petitioner
has already been rejected vide order, Annexure P-7.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out that post decisional hearing be granted to the petitioner. Learned counsel representing the Corporation is not averse to this.

Under the circumstances, we dispose off the writ petition with a direction to respondent-Corporation to consider the representations, Annexure P-9 & P-15. After affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner it shall be at liberty to pass order(s) as per law and in the facts and circumstances of the case.

It is however, made clear that this order shall not be treated as precedent.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

November 18, 2020

Ajay

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No