

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-21404-2016

Date of Decision: 27.01.2020

Naresh Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. and others

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Harsimran Singh Sethi

Present : Mr. Vikas Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Diwan S. Adlakha, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Puneet Bhandari, Advocate
for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that though, the benefits for which the petitioner is entitled for, after his superannuation on 28.02.2015 have been released to him but the same were released after an unreasonable delay and therefore, the petitioner is entitled for interest on the said delayed payments.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that petitioner be given liberty to approach the respondents by filing appropriate representation claiming the interest on the delayed payments and also raise his grievances with regard to the recovery, which has been done from the gratuity of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondents very fairly states that in case petitioner files any representation raising any grievances, the said representation will be considered in accordance with law and the same will be disposed of by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of three months of the receipt of the said representation. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that keeping in the view the undertaking given by learned counsel for respondents, the petitioner does not press this writ petition any further and the same may be disposed of accordingly.

Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

27.01.2020
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No