

112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.8773 of 2020

Date of Decision: 27.10.2020

PUNEET KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Ms. Kuljit Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioner has preferred this petition for the issuance of appropriate directions to official respondents in the context of protection of life and personal liberty of the petitioner.

Petitioner is confined in Jail in connection with FIR No.29 dated 03.02.2018 under Sections 365, 302, 201 and 34 IPC, P.S. Tripuri, Distt. Patiala. FIR No.226 dated 01.08.2020 was registered against the petitioner with the allegations that two mobile phones were recovered from the jail premises out of which one mobile phone has been used and kept by the petitioner in water pipe of the toilet. Investigation of the

aforesaid case is still in progress.

Petitioner has levelled some allegations against the officials/officers of the Jail in demanding ransom amount to avoid false implication of the petitioner in the said case. Petitioner has also alleged some nexus of outsiders with jail staff in implicating the petitioner in a false case.

Precisely in the aforesaid context, the petitioner has already moved joint representations to respondents No.2 and 3 on 07.09.2020 which have not been decided by any of the aforesaid respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied in case his representation is ordered to be decided by respondent No.3 within a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Ramdeep Pratap Singh, D.A.G., Punjab accepted notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of calling any response from respondents No.1 to 4 as no order prejudicial to the interests of anyone is being passed.

At this stage, without meaning anything on the merits of

the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to look into the grievance of the petitioner arising out of the representation (Annexure P-3) dated 07.09.2020 and decide the same by passing speaking order in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

October 27, 2020
Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No