

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
(208)**

**CRWP-8722-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : 27.11.2020**

Sajid @ Mohammad Sajid and another

... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of U.T., Chandigarh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Ms. Jasneet Mehra, Advocate, for
Ms. Jainika Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Charanjit Singh Bakhshi, Addl. PP, UT, Chandigarh.

Mr. B.S. Jaiswal, Advocate,
for respondents No.4 to 15.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)

Case has been heard through video conferencing on account of
COVID-19 Pandemic.

The petitioners have filed this petition under Article 226 of the
Constitution with direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life
and liberty from the hands of respondents No.4 and 15 who are against their
marriage. As per the petitioners, they got married on 19.10.2020 at
Panchkula against the wishes of the family of petitioner No.2-Shagun.
Respondents No.4 and 15 being relatives of respondent No.2 have
threatened to cause harm to the petitioners, both of whom are major.

On notice of motion, the protection petition is being contested
by respondents No.4 and 15 as well as by the official respondents. Reply
has been filed on behalf of official respondents No.1 to 3, as per which, the
petitioners are presently residing happily at Darya Ganj, Delhi, after their

marriage. Petitioner No.2 has also given in writing to SSP, Chandigarh that she does not want to meet her parents and relatives, as she is apprehending threat from their side.

I have heard the counsel for the parties.

Admittedly, at present, the petitioners are not residing within the jurisdiction of respondent No.2-SSP, Chandigarh. So, at this stage, no direction can be given to respondent No.2 in regard to protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

Counsel for the petitioners made submission that petitioner No.1 is permanent resident of Chandigarh and even his Aadhar Card (Annexure P-1) was issued on the address of Chandigarh which has also been given in this petition.

Counsel for the petitioners made request that the petitioners be given liberty to approach respondent No.2, as and when they again started living in Chandigarh.

In the light of the aforesaid, this petition is hereby disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to approach respondent No.2, as and when in the near future, they feel any threat perception from respondents No.4 to 15, while living in Chandigarh.

Any observations made in this order shall not validate the marriage between the petitioners and does not have any effect on any civil or criminal action to which the petitioners may be liable under the law.

November 27, 2020

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

**(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Yes/No
Yes/No