

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-8657-2020

DATE OF DECISION: NOVEMBER 2, 2020

PRITI DEVI AND ANR

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ.

PRESENT: MR. BALRAJ SINGH, ADVOCATE
FOR THE PETITIONERS.

KANWAR SANJIV KUMAR, AAG, HARYANA.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty, as they apprehend danger from respondent No.4 (Father of Petitioner No.1), who is against the marriage of the petitioners.

On 20.10.2020, learned State counsel sought time to verify the existence of alleged threat.

Today, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Vinod Kumar submits that as per the separate statements made by the petitioners, they do not apprehend any danger from respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for the petitioners does not dispute this fact and submits that the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

November 2, 2020
Gulati

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Reportable : Yes/No
Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No