

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
121(PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)**

CRWP-8640-2020

Date of decision: 21.10.2020

Anu Sharma and another

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Amit Thakur, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. A.G. Punjab,
for the State.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and liberty from respondents No. 4 to 9 and have, therefore, highlighted this position in their representation dated 19.10.2020 (Annexure P-4) addressed to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Pathankot-respondent No.2.

Counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Pathankot-respondent No.2 and the Station House Officer, Police Station Kanua, District Pathankot-respondent No. 3 to look into the representation dated 19.10.2020 (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate steps in accordance with law.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioners
and without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the

present petition is disposed of with directions to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Pathankot-respondent No.2 and the Station House Officer, Police Station Kanua, District Pathankot-respondent No. 3 to look into the representation dated 19.10.2020 (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate steps in accordance with law, if required.

October 21, 2020

pj

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No