

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.15834 of 2018
Date of decision: 28.02.2020

Surinder and another
Versus
....Petitioners

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam
Ltd. and others
...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Shvetanshu Goel and Mr. Shreya Vasishtha, Advocate for
the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate for the respondents.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents fairly conceded that Uday Singh, who was appointed as Class-IV employee on 24.03.2000, was regularized on 30.10.2017 whereas the petitioners, who were appointed as Class-IV employee on 03.01.1997 and 01.02.1995, have not been regularized till date although they are identically situated in all respects. Learned counsel for the respondents has also not been able to show any basis for denying regularization to the petitioners.

2. In the light of the position as noted above, the writ petition is allowed. Respondents are directed to regularize the services of the petitioners with effect from the date their junior, namely, Uday Singh was regularized vide order Annexure P-6 dated 30.10.2017 on the same terms and conditions as Sh. Uday Singh was regularized.

3. Needful be done within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

February 28, 2020
ps

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No