

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
110 (PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)**

CRWP-8628-2020

DATE OF DECISION: OCTOBER 21, 2020

Anchal and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Davinder Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anmol Malik, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Gautam Kaile, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners have approached this Court with a prayer for protection of their lives and liberty at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 8 as they are opposing their marriage.

Mr. Gautam Kaile, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.4, who is father of petitioner No.1, Anchal and states that private respondents have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners. He, however, states that respondent Nos.4 and 5, who are parents of petitioner No.1, want to meet their daughter.

In the light of the statement made by counsel for respondent No.4 and without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present petition is disposed of with directions to the Superintendent of Police, District Yamuna Nagar, to look into the application/representation dated 16.10.2020 (Annexure P-5) submitted by the petitioners and ensure that their lives and liberty are not put to peril in any manner. In case

respondent Nos.4 and 5 approach the Secretary, District Legal Services Authority, Yamuna Nagar, with a request to meet their daughter, Anchal (petitioner No.1), the said Authority shall make arrangement for such meeting as counsel for the petitioners states that petitioner No.1 will have no objection to the said meeting.

**October 21, 2020
khurmi**

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No