

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
113 (PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)

CRWP-8631-2020

DATE OF DECISION: OCTOBER 21, 2020

Venu Sehgal and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Umesh Kumar Kanwar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.
Mr. Anmol Malik, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and liberty from respondent Nos.4 and 5 and have, therefore, highlighted this position in their representation dated 18.10.2020 (Annexure P-7) addressed to the Superintendent of Police, District Yamuna Nagar, respondent No.2.

Counsel contends that the petitioners, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent Nos.2 and 3, to look into the representation dated 18.10.2020 (Annexure P-7) and take appropriate steps in accordance with law.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioners, without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present petition is disposed of with directions to the Superintendent of Police, District Yamuna Nagar, and SHO, Police Station Sadar Jagadhari, District Yamuna Nagar, respondent Nos.2 and 3 respectively, to look into the representation dated 18.10.2020 (Annexure P-7) and take appropriate steps in accordance with law, if required.

October 21, 2020
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No