

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201/2

**CRM-M-No. 34834 of 2020
Date of Decision: 12.11.2020**

VINOD KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Vishal Singh Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.109 dated 12.07.2020 under Sections 409, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC and Section 66-D of IT Act, 2000 registered at Police Station Sector 37, Gurugram, Haryana.

While issuing notice of motion on 30.10.2020, the following order was passed:-

“The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon

pendency of CRM-M No. 22745 of 2020 titled Deepak Rathi vs. State of Haryana to contend that Deepak Rathee has been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail vide order dated 29.9.2020. Petitioner was working as Sub Post Master and allegedly opened the already closed time deposit accounts and allowed the aforesaid Deepak Rathee to have an access to the departmental software. They managed to open the saving account in the name of Ms.Anupama with fake IDs and managed to transfer the three times deposit accounts and got the maturity amount of Rs. 7,98,137/- transferred in the saving account of Ms.Anupama and had later withdrawn the amount in a piecemeal manner from different post offices. Co-accused Deepak Rathee has deposited an amount of Rs.5,48,137/- on 18.9.2020 with the Senior Superintendent, Post Office, Gurugram.

Notice of motion for 12.11.2020.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation on 5.11.2020 at 11.00 a.m. and in the event of his arrest, he shall be enlarged on ad interim bail, subject to his furnishing requisite bail

bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, petitioner shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

To be heard along with CRM-M No. 22745 of 2020.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Inspector Shailender Singh, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer and he is not required in any further investigation of the case.

In view of above, interim orders dated 30.10.2020 is made absolute. However, the petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when required to do so and he shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of.

November 12, 2020

anita

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No