

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

109

CWP-18365-22020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.11.2020

Shiv Lal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N. SATYANARAYANA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI**

PRESENT: Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate for respondent No. 5.

S.N. SATYANARAYANA, J. (ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing in the light of COVID-19 pandemic.

Perused the report filed by Mr. Prateek Jain, Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Kanina, which is prepared after verifying the place shown by petitioner-Shiv Lal.

In the aforesaid circumstances, being satisfied with the same, we find the present writ petition does not merit consideration.

Accordingly, the same is dismissed.

**(S.N. SATYANARAYANA)
JUDGE**

**(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE**

November 25, 2020

rishu

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No