

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

I.

CRM-M-34087-2020

Date of decision: October 28, 2020

Satnam Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent.

II.

CRM-M-34229-2020

Sunny

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Simranjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in these petitions, i.e. CRM-M-34087-2020 filed by Satnam Singh and CRM-M-34229-2020 filed by Sunny is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.78 dated 2.8.2020, registered under Sections 324, 323, 148, 149 IPC (Sections 325, 326, 307 IPC added later on), at Police Station Purana Shalla, District Gurdaspur,

As per the allegations in the FIR, the petitioners, along with the co-accused, have attacked the complainant party and caused multiple injuries to as many as 05 persons.

The injuries sustained by the accused persons as noticed in the

order of the Sessions Judge is reproduced here-in-below :-

“As per MLR No.RD/604/RS/CH/GSP dated 31.7.2020 of Dalwinder Singh son of Gurdial Singh the doctor has opined total five injuries on his person and injuries No.1, 2 were caused with shar edged weapon and injuries No.3, 4, 5 with blunt weapon and injury No.1 was kept under X-ray examination. As per MLR No.RD-603/CH/GSP dated 31.7.2020 of Varinderjit Singh @ Happy the doctor has opined injuries No.3, 4 were caused with sharp edged weapon and injuries No.1, 2, 5, 6 were caused with blunt weapon and injuries No.1, 3 were kept under X-ray examination. As per MLR No.RD-605-CH/GSP dated 31.7.2020 of Jatinder Singh son of Sher Singh the doctor opined that injury No.1 was caused with sharp edged weapon and injuries No. 2 and 3 were caused with blunt weapon and injury No.1 was kept under X-ray examination. As per MLR No.RD-602/CH/GSP dated 31.7.2020 of Joban Masih son of Gulzar Masih, the doctor opined that injuries No.1, 2, 3 were caused with sharp edged weapon and kept under X-ray examination. As per MLR No.RD-607/CH/GSP dated 31.7.2020 of Gulpinder Singh son of Gurnam Singh resident of village Gazikot the doctor opined that injuries No.1, 2, 3, 4, 5 were caused with blunt weapon and injuries No.1, 3 and 4 were kept under X-ray examination. As per MLR No.RD/606/CH/GSP dated 31.7.2020 of Kulwinder Singh son of Jaswinder Singh resident of village Gazikot the doctor opined that injury No.1 was caused with sharp edged weapon and kept under X-ray examination.”

Counsel for the petitioners submits that some of the accused have been granted the concession of anticipatory bail and no specific role is attributed to the petitioners.

In reply, the learned State counsel has opposed the prayer on the ground that the case of the petitioners is distinguishable from the accused, who have been granted the concession of anticipatory bail, as there are specific injuries attributed to petitioner-Satnam Singh, who has caused an injury on the head of Jatinder Singh, with datar invoking Section 326 IPC.

Learned State counsel has further submitted that petitioner-Satnam Singh is attributed injuries to 03 persons, namely, Joban Masih, Varinderjit Singh and Dalwinder Singh. Similar allegations are against petitioner-Sunny that he, in conspiracy with other accused, has caused multiple injury to the accused persons.

After going through the contents of the FIR as well as the order granting anticipatory bail to the co-accused, who were attributed simple injuries, I find no ground to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, the above mentioned petitions are dismissed.

October 28, 2020
satish

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE