

**205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34326 of 2020

Date of Decision: 04.11.2020

Surjit Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present: Mr. Varinder Basa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

SANT PARKASH, J. (ORAL)

*[The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing
since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual court]*

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C.
for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 192, dated
29.07.2020 registered under Section 379-B of IPC at Police Station
Ghuman, District Gurdaspur.

As per the allegations in the FIR, the complainant-Daljit Singh
alleged that on 29.07.2020 at about 10.45/11.00 a.m., three persons namely
Surjit Singh-petitioner, Gurbinder Singh @ Pinda and Jinda came to Mehar
Filling Station Harpura on one motorcycle and got filled petrol of Rs. 190/-.
When the complainant raised demand of money, they snatched Rs. 3500/-
and Rs. 4000/- from him and one person gave danda blow on his arm. They
also snatched the mobile phone from Baljinder Singh, another worker at
petrol filling station.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a peace loving and law abiding citizen and has been falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioner is in custody since 30.07.2020 and has undergone incarceration for three months. He further submits that the co-accused Gurbinder Singh @ Pinda has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 06.10.2020 in CRM-M-30542 of 2020. Thus, he prays for regular bail to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel opposes the prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner, however, she does not dispute the fact that the petitioner has undergone imprisonment for three months and his co-accused Gurbinder Singh @ Pinda has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 06.10.2020.

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Taking into consideration the totality of the circumstances and without commenting on the merits of the case, it is considered as appropriate to release the petitioner on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate.

The petition is allowed.

**(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE**

04.11.2020
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No