

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-17534-2020 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 16.10.2020**

Yamunettri

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.**

Present:- Mr. G.S. Gopera, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharan Sethi, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

....

**TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).**

Matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Petitioner belongs to the BCA category and had applied for the post of PTI.

Instant writ petition has been filed seeking a mandamus for directing the respondents to undertake an exercise of scrutiny of documents including the OMR sheet of the petitioner and to grant to her benefit of 8 grace marks as per revised final answer key and to interview her provisionally for the post.

Pleadings on record clearly indicates that the petitioner had earlier filed CWP-16095-2020 and which was disposed of by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in terms of order dated 01.10.2020 in the following terms:

*“The petitioner has applied for the post of P.T.I. but has not been called for interview.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that according to the eligibility criteria a 'BC-A' category candidate is required to score 45% marks in the written test. The petitioner belongs to the said category and according to evaluation of her answer book based on the answer key uploaded by respondent No.3, she has scored 45% marks. Yet, she has not been called for interview. Even representation dated 29.09.2020 (Annexure P-15) has not been decided.*

*Notice of motion.*

*Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice and waives service.*

*Considering the nature of order being passed, no written statement is required to be filed.*

*The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to pass a speaking order on the representation dated 29.09.2020 (Annexure P-15) within a period of seven days from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order”*

Furthermore, the document placed on record at Annexure P-2 shows that the candidature of the petitioner stands rejected.

Be that as it may, in terms of order dated 01.10.2020 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, the Haryana Staff Selection Commission was to pass a speaking order on a representation dated 29.09.2020 that had been submitted by the petitioner and to pass a speaking order thereupon.

An advance copy already stood served upon the State of Haryana.

Mr. Sharan Sethi, learned Additional Advocate General,

Haryana submits that the final order passed on the representation of the petitioner would be conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

Statement is accepted.

Writ petition is disposed of in terms of granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge the final order that is yet to be conveyed to the petitioner in the eventuality of the same being detrimental to her interest.

Disposed of.

**16.10.2020**

*harjeet*

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)  
JUDGE**

- |     |                            |        |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |