

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8613-2020

Date of Decision: 21.10.2020

Meyo

. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: - Mr. Amjad Khan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Hearing of the case was held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

This petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed for protecting the life and liberty of the petitioner and her family members. Further a direction has been sought for directing respondents No.2 to 4 to carry out free and fair investigation in FIR No.53 dated 27.05.2020 registered under Sections 363 & 366 IPC at Police Station Kahnuwan, District Gurdaspur.

It may be noted here that the petitioner previously also filed CRWP-4825-2020 seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *habeas corpus* alleging that her daughter Ballo, aged 16 years, has been forcibly taken away. A warrant officer was appointed and the daughter of the petitioner was got released. There are no allegations that any harm was caused to Ballo.

Now the petitioner has pleaded that private respondents are compelling her to enter into a compromise failing which they would again abduct her daughter. The petitioner has already lodged FIR No.53 dated 27.05.2020 registered under Sections 363 & 366 IPC at Police Station

Kahnuwan, District Gurdaspur.

This Court has heard learned counsel for the petitioner at length and with his assistance perused the paper book.

Keeping in view the facts of the case, this Court does not found it appropriate to exercise its jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

Hence, the petitioner is relegated to the remedy before the Magistrate.

Disposed of.

21.10.2020

Vivek

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable : *Yes/No*