

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.35588 of 2020
Date of Decision: 03.11.2020**

Bhupinder Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Vishva Bahl, Advocate
for the petitioners.

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA J. (ORAL)

By way of this petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.0012 dated 09.01.2020 as registered at Police Station Maqboolpura, District Amritsar, under Sections 354, 323, 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Annexure P-1), on the basis of compromise deed (Annexure P-2).

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, Assistant A. G., Punjab has joined the proceedings on behalf of respondent No.1-State in pursuance of the copy of this petition having been sent to respondent No.1-State in advance.

Mr. Govind Rana, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and his Power of Attorney, as received through E-mail, is placed and taken on the record.

However, at the very outset, learned counsel for respondent No.1-State, on instructions from ASI Bikram Singh, points out that Annexure P-1, the translated copy of the above-said FIR, does not depict the true translated version of the FIR and a part of the material contents of the FIR is missing in this translated version. She, further, points out that one Harpreet Singh, who had been mentioned as the brother of Bhupinder Singh, the prime accused, has been specifically named in the supplementary statement of the complainant but he is not a signatory to the compromise deed (Annexure P-2) and moreover, the offence under Section 325 of the Indian Penal Code has also been added subsequently in the present case, whereas the present petition does not contain all these important and relevant facts.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for withdrawal of the present petition with the liberty to file a fresh one specifying all the subsequent events, as point out by learned State counsel today, therein.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty afore-said.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

November 03, 2020

rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No