

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8609-2020

Date of Decision: 06.11.2020

Priyanka Rani and another

.. Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Anshul Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

This criminal writ petition has been filed under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who are apprehending threats at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 7.

On 20.10.2020, the following order was passed by this Court:

“Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are receiving threats from respondents No.4 to 7, as they have entered into marriage on 14.10.2020 against the wishes of private respondents.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only, at this stage.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr.DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents. Learned counsel for the petitioners undertakes to forward the complete copy of the petition to the office of Advocate General, Punjab through e-mail, during the course of the day.

Learned counsel for the State prays for time to verify the facts and the existence of alleged threat.

Adjourned to 6.11.2020.”

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Gurmail Singh

states that the petitioners have given their statements that as on today, they

are not facing any threat and do not need any protection.

This fact is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioners, who states that in the light of the statements of the petitioners, the petition is rendered infructuous.

Disposed off as such.

06.11.2020
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes	No
Whether reportable	Yes	No