

**112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8607-2020

Date of decision-20.10.2020

Satveer Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Mohd.Saleem, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

The petitioner has filed this writ petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect his life and liberty from the hands of respondent No.4. It is further prayed that directions to official respondents be also issued to decide his representation dated 02.09.2020 (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that respondent No.4 (husband) has filed a petition for divorce under Section 13 Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 against his wife and is pressurizing the petitioner to depose against her. He has further contended that respondent No.4 is Police Officer and is likely to involve the petitioner in a false case, in case the petitioner does not accede to his request. He submits that a representation dated 02.09.2020 (Annexure P-1) in this regard has already been submitted to Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, but till date no action has been taken, therefore, necessary directions be issued.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is in touch with the wife of respondent No.4 as they were class fellows.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court finds that on one hand, the petitioner has pleaded that he has no concern with matrimonial life of respondent No.4 and at the same time, he tried to make him understand to resolve the dispute. The petitioner has apparently taken conflicting stand, who is known to respondent No.4 and his wife. A copy of the petition allegedly filed by respondent No.4 for dissolution of marriage has not been attached and therefore, in the above facts, this Court is not inclined to invoke the extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

20.10.2020

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No