

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

103

CRM-M-33809-2020

Date of decision : 27.10.2020.

Lovepreet Singh @ Pappu and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate for the complainant.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 239 dated 19.08.2020, under Sections 323, 314, 149 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC' - for short) (Section 314 IPC has been deleted and Section 315/295 IPC has been added later on), registered at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners have been falsely implicated on account of marital discord as they are relatives of the husband. He also contends that co-accused, namely, Amarjit Singh, who is the father-in-law of the complainant, has been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court in CRM-M-32554 of 2020, on 13.10.2020.

Heard.

The allegations against the petitioners are that they had kicked the complainant in the stomach and had also given fist blows to her. It is also alleged that the petitioners had given a blow with an iron punch on the head of the mother of the complainant. Co-accused, namely, Amarjit Singh was alleged to have caught hold of the complainant from her hair and she had fallen on the ground. Therefore, the petitioners cannot derive any benefit from the *ad interim* bail granted to co-accused-Amarjit Singh.

In view of the serious allegations against the petitioners, I do not find it a fit case for the grant of anticipatory bail.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

October 27, 2020

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No