

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-8591-2020

DATE OF DECISION: NOVEMBER 2, 2020

GURLIN KAUR AND ANR

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ.

PRESENT: MR. KEWAL KRISHAN, ADVOCATE
FOR THE PETITIONERS.

MR. HARBIR SANDHU, AAG, PUNJAB.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty, as they apprehend danger from respondent No.4 & 5 (Parents of Petitioner No.1), who are against their marriage.

On 20.10.2020, learned State counsel had sought time to verify the existence of alleged threat.

Today, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Amarjit Kaur submits that as per the statement made by petitioner No.1, she does not apprehend any danger from the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners does not dispute this fact and submits that the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

November 2, 2020
Gulati

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Reportable : Yes/No
Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No