

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.21524 of 2015

Date of Decision : 12.03.2020

Shanti Gulati and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr.Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Challenge in the instant petition was to the order dated 16.03.2011 (Annexure P-1) whereby pay of the petitioners had been reduced on account of withdrawal of benefit of ACP Scheme.

Counsel for the petitioners has very fairly stated that the issue involved in the instant petition would be covered against the petitioners in the light of judgment dated 05.09.2017 passed by a Coordinate Bench in **CWP-9389-2013** (*Surinder Kumari and others Versus State of Haryana and another*) **2018(1)S.C.T.120**.

In view of the above, instant writ petition is dismissed in terms of judgment in *Surinder Kumari's case* (supra).

At this stage, counsel for the petitioners points out that pursuant to the impugned order certain amount already stands recovered and to which the petitioners would be entitled to refund in view of para 17 of the judgment in *Surinder Kumari's case* (supra).

Such issue is left open for the petitioners to agitate before the concerned/competent authority.

12.03.2020
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No