

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-8588-2020

DATE OF DECISION: NOVEMBER 2, 2020

GURPREET KAUR AND ANR ...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ.

PRESENT: MR. SHANTANU SHARMA, ADVOCATE
FOR THE PETITIONERS.

MR. HARBIR SANDHU, AAG, PUNJAB.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty, as they apprehend danger from respondents No.4 and 5.

Reply by way of affidavit of Ravinder Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Tapa, District Barnala on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 has been filed by learned counsel for the State, wherein it has been stated that vide statement (Annexure R-1) made by petitioner No.1, she does not apprehend any danger from the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the statement of petitioner No.1, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

November 2, 2020
Gulati

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Reportable : Yes/No
Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No