

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

113

CRM-M-34097-2020

Date of decision : 28.10.2020.

Nishan Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Vikasdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.90 dated 03.07.2020, under Section 61/1/14 of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914, registered at Police Station Talwandi Chaudhrian, district Kapurthala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is alleged that 300 kgs. of lahan had been recovered from the river bed and the petitioner has been arraigned as an accused only on the basis of suspicion.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Balkar Singh, contends that the petitioner is also involved in two other cases including one under the Excise Act.

Heard.

The petitioner has been named in the FIR which has been registered on the basis of secret information that he is distilling illicit liquor at a place near the river. The recovery of 300 kgs. of lahan along with a working still was recovered from the place. The petitioner is also involved in other

In view of the above, I do not find it a fit case for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

October 28, 2020
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No